## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Basil J. Kyles,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	ORDER ) (Written Opinion)
Charles C. Collie, Alex Chartier, Kenny Atkinson,	(vviiden Spinion)
Defendants.	) ) )

This matter comes before the Court for review of United States Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald's Report and Recommendation made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d), D.S.C., and filed on February 13, 2013. Plaintiff, a federal prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed this action pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388, 397 (1971) on September 20, 2012. Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order ("TRO") against Defendants filed on January 17, 2013. Magistrate Judge McDonald recommends that this Court deny Plaintiff's motion for a TRO. For the reasons stated herein, the Court adopts the Magistrate Judge's recommendation in its entirety.

Petitioner brings this claim *pro se*. This Court is required to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally. Such pleadings are held to a less stringent standard than those drafted by attorneys. *Gordon v. Leeke*, 574 F.2d 1147, 1151 (4th Cir. 1978). This Court is charged with liberally construing a pleading filed by a *pro se* litigant to allow

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prisoner petitions are deemed filed at the time that they are delivered to prison authorities for mailing to the court clerk. *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988).

for the development of a potentially meritorious claim. *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982).

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). This Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and this Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). This Court may also "receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the magistrate with instructions." Id. However, in the absence of specific objections to the Report and Recommendation, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198 (4th. Cir. 1983). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the Magistrate Judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. United States v. Schrone, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). Objections were due by March 4, 2013, and neither party has filed any objections to the Report and Recommendation.

Therefore, after a thorough review of the record, this Court finds that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is accepted and adopted in its entirety.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a temporary

restraining order against Defendants is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

G. Ross Anderson, Jr.

Som Galvan Jr.

Senior United States District Judge

March <u>8</u>, 2013 Anderson, South Carolina